

CITY OF EL PASO, TEXAS AGENDA SUMMARY FORM



DEPARTMENT/COUNCIL OFFICE: Public Health

AGENDA DATE: April 14, 2026

PUBLIC HEARING DATE: Not Applicable

CONTACT PERSON NAME: Veerinder Teneja, Health Director

PHONE NUMBER:(915) 212-6500

2nd CONTACT PERSON NAME: Claudia A. Garcia, Purchasing & Strategic Sourcing **PHONE NUMBER:**(915) 212-0043

DISTRICT(S) AFFECTED: All

AGENDA ITEM:

The award of solicitation 2026-0132R Public Health Accreditation Consultant to Ascendent Healthcare Advisors, Inc., for an initial term of one (1) year for an estimated amount of \$240,000.00. The award also includes a one (1) year option. The total contract time is for two (2) years for a total estimated amount of \$240,000.00.

Department:	Public Health
Award to:	Ascendent Healthcare Advisors, Inc.
City & State:	Chapel Hill, NC
Item(s):	All
Term:	1 Year
Option Term:	1 Year
Total Contract Time:	2 Years
Annual Estimated Award:	\$240,000.00
Term Estimated Award:	\$240,000.00
Option Term Estimated Award:	NA
Total Estimated Award	\$240,000.00
Account(s)	341-2140-41330-522150-G4126A2WRK
Funding Source(s):	Public Health Grants
District(s):	All

This was a Request for Proposals Procurement for a new contract.

The Purchasing & Strategic Sourcing and Public Health departments recommend award as indicated to Ascendent Healthcare Advisors, Inc., the highest ranked offeror based on the evaluation factors established in the evaluation criteria for this procurement.

It is requested that the City Manager be authorized to establish the funding sources and make any necessary budget transfers and execute any and all documents necessary for execution of this award.

In accordance with this award, the City Manager or designee is authorized to exercise future options if needed.

ISSUE STATEMENT:

City Council is asked to approve the award of a new contract for 2026-0132R Public Health Accreditation Consultant. This contract will allow a consultant to provide expertise, guidance, and support throughout the accreditation process, ensuring compliance with national standards and best practices.

BACKGROUND:

The primary objective of the consultant is to assist the Department of Public Health in achieving public health board accreditation.

Solicitation was advertised on November 25, 2025 and December 2, 2025. The solicitation was posted on the City website on November 25, 2025. There were a total sixteen (16) viewers online; two (2) proposals were received; none from local suppliers.

An Inadequate Competition Survey was conducted indicating the following:

1. Does not offer these goods,
2. Most of the suppliers did not respond

Contract Variance: No prior contract to compare

No protest received for this requirement.

COUNCIL OPTIONS:

1. Award the contract as recommended;
2. Deny award if Council determines such action is in the best interest of the City;
3. Direct staff to re-solicit the procurement with revised evaluation criteria or policy direction, as permitted by law;
or
4. Postpone action, pending additional information.

COMMITTEE REVIEW AND/OR RECOMMENDATION:

This item was not reviewed by a City Council committee.

COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH:

A proposal meeting was held on December 3, 2025. One (1) supplier was in attendance.

RELATED POLICIES:

1. Procurement Sourcing Policy

PRIOR COUNCIL ACTION:

NA

LEGAL REVIEW:

- Legal counsel reviewed as part of the Council packet
 Legal counsel reviewed in advance of packet as individual item

AMOUNT AND SOURCE OF FUNDING:

Amount: \$240,000.00

Funding Source: 341-2140-41330-522150-G4126A2WRK

REPORTING OF CONTRIBUTION OR DONATION TO CITY COUNCIL:

Report any contributions or donations to City Council of an accumulated total of \$500 or more. Report the name of the elected official and the amount.

NAME	AMOUNT (\$)
Form was provided to the applicant	NA

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Tabulation
2. Inadequate competition survey

FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Antonio M. Martinez	(915) 212-6671	MartinezAM@elpasotexas.gov
---------------------	----------------	----------------------------

*****REQUIRED AUTHORIZATION*****

SIGNATURES:

Veerinder Teneja

Veerinder Teneja, Health Director



Claudia A. Garcia – Director of Purchasing & Strategic Sourcing

CITY OF EL PASO RFP SCORESHEET

PROJECT: 2026-0132R Public Health Accreditation Consultant

Evaluation of Submittal

Ascendent Healthcare
Advisors, Inc.

Strategic Health Advisers, LLC

MAX POINTS

Factor A - Price

35

\$ 240,000.00

\$ 229,625.00

33.49

35.00

Factor B - Experience – Comparable Contracts

20

15.04

9.54

Factor C – References

10

10.00

3.34

Factor D – Technical Approach

35

26.50

27.17

TOTAL SCORE

100

85.03

75.05

Rank

1

2

**2026-0132R Public Health Accreditation Consultant
Viewer's List**

<u>No.</u>	<u>Participant Name</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>
1	Strategic Health Advisers, LLC	Bloomfield	NJ
2	Ascendent Healthcare Advisors, Inc.	Chapel Hill	NC
3	WOFFORD TRUCK PARTS (TE EL PASO,LLC)	EL PASO	TX
4	Allometrics Inc.	Webster	TX
5	CompuGroup Medical Inc.	Richardson	TX
6	Zeraus Iluminacion	El Paso	TX
7	Crisis Prevention Institute, Inc.	Milwaukee	WI
8	FOCALPOINT El Paso TX	El Paso	TX
9	Gallagher Benefit Services (Arthur J. Gallagher)	Dallas	TX
10	GOVGUIDE INC	Arlington	VA
11	IntegrateUs LLC	Austin	TX
12	ITSQuest, Inc.	Lubbock	TX
13	OGR (WPP Group Inc dba Ogilvy Public Relations Worldwide LLC)	Philadelphia	PA
14	PMCS Services, Inc.	Austin	TX
15	Tommy's Express (Phase 2 Company LLC)	El Paso	TX
16	Walker Systems78 LLC	Horizon City	TX

Inadequate Competition Survey

2026-0132R Public Health Accreditation Consultant

Number of Views	16	
Sample Size Scale	17 or less - Contact	4
	18 to 23 - Contact	5
	24 to 27 - Contact	6
	28 or More - Contact	25%
Sample Size Selected	4	

Survey Questions:

Please indicate, why you did not respond to our solicitation?	Number of Responses	Pct
Did not receive the Bid Notification (Confirm address on file)		0%
Did not have time to respond		0%
Could not provide competitive pricing		0%
Could not meet the submission deadline		0%
Not interested		0%
Does not have equipment	2	50%
Out of business		0%
No response	2	50%
Total	4	100%
Please provide feedback to our Specification		Pct
Specifications were good or adequate	1	25%
Specifications were not clear		0%
Could not meet specifications		0%
Specifications were limited or closed		0%
Did not receive/no opinion	3	75%
Just needed more time to review.		0%
Did not receive bid notification.		0%
Total	4	100%